# <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Charles Swofford

MAR 1 1 2019

The Villages, FL 32162

RE:

MUR 7009

Ted Cruz for Senate and

Bradley S. Knippa, as Treasurer Senator Rafael Edward "Ted" Cruz

Dear Mr. Swofford:

19044461384

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on February 8, 2016, concerning Ted Cruz for Senate and Senator Rafael Edward Ted Cruz. The Commission, on July 31, 2018, found reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley Knippa in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) in connection with misreported loans totaling \$1,064,000, and found no reason to believe Senator Cruz violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4). On February 20, 2019, a conciliation agreement signed by Ted Cruz for Senate was accepted by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter on February 20, 2019.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding is enclosed. A copy of the agreement with Ted Cruz for Senate is also enclosed for your information.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

MUR 7009 Charles Swofford

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202).694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jin Lee

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis
Conciliation Agreement

2

3

4

5

22

**RESPONDENTS:** 

PERFDAT	TI FOTION	<b>COMMISSION</b>
reveral	PLECTION	COMMISSION

MURs: 7001, 7002, 7003,

7009 and 7455

## . FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

and Bradley S. Knippa

Ted Cruz for Senate

Senator Rafael Edward "Ted" Cruz

6 7		in his official capacity as treasurer	
8	I.	INTRODUCTION	
9		MURs 7001, 7002, 7003 and 7009 involve allegations that Senator Rafael Edward "Ted"	
10	Cruz	and Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley Knippa in his official capacity as treasurer (the	
11	"Co	mmittee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by	
12	misr	eporting that loans Cruz made to his 2012 Senate campaign were funded with Cruz's	
13	"personal funds," rather than a line of credit with Citibank and a margin loan from a Goldman		
14	Sach	s brokerage account that he owned jointly with his wife. In MUR 7003, the Complaint	
15	alleg	es that the violations were knowing and willful. In RAD Referral 16L-09, the Reports	
16	Anal	ysis Division ("RAD") also referred the Committee to the Office of General Counsel	
17	("OC	GC") for failing to properly report those loans.	
18		The Committee and Senator Cruz ("Cruz Respondents") admit that Senator Cruz funded	
19	loans	s to the Committee with a line of credit from Citibank and a margin loan from a Goldman	
20	Sach	s brokerage account. The Cruz Respondents also admit that the Committee failed to	
21	discl	ose those loan sources on the reports the Committee filed with the Commission. <sup>2</sup> The Cruz	

Respondents nevertheless argue that the Commission should dismiss the violations because the

See Memorandum from Patricia C. Orrock, Chief Compliance Officer, FEC, to Daniel A. Petalas, Acting General Counsel, FEC (June 2, 2016).

Resp. of Cruz Respondents to MURs 7001, 7002, and 7003 (Apr. 4, 2016) ("Resp. of Cruz Respondents") at 1. The Cruz Respondents filed a single response to MURs 7001, 7002, and 7003 and asked the Commission to consider that response as their response to MUR 7009, as well as their response to the RAD Referral. E-mail from Chris Gober, counsel for the Cruz Respondents, to Jeff S. Jordan, Complaints Examination and Legal Administration ("CELA"), FEC (May 18, 2016, 12:51 EST); e-mail from Chris Gober to CELA; FEC (Dec. 20, 2016, 11:49 EST).

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2 of 10

- 1 overall reporting of the loans in Senator Cruz's 2012 Senate Financial Disclosure Report and in a
- 2 Miscellaneous Text Document (Form 99) filed with the Commission in 2016, was substantially
- 3 correct.3
- 4 The available information shows that the Committee inaccurately reported that the source
- of candidate loans totaling \$1,064,000 was Senator Cruz's personal funds, and that it failed to
- 6 report required information about the true underlying sources of the loans. Therefore, the
- 7 Commission finds reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate violated 52 U.S.C.
- 8 § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4). The Commission also finds no reason to believe
- 9 that Senator Cruz individually violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).
- 10 II. FACTS
- In 2012, Senator Ted Cruz was a U.S. Senate candidate in Texas and Ted Cruz for Senate
- was his authorized campaign committee. During the 2012 Senate campaign, the Committee's
- disclosure reports showed that Cruz made loans to the Committee totaling \$1.43 million from
- 14 "personal funds." Most of the loans were provided shortly before the primary election on
- 15 May 29, 2012, and the primary runoff election on July 31, 2012. In a 2013 interview with the
- 16 New York Times, Senator Cruz reportedly stated that he and his wife, Heidi Cruz, a managing
- 17 director at Goldman Sachs, agreed to "liquidate" their "entire net worth" to free up the funds
- 18 necessary for the campaign.<sup>5</sup>

Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 3.

Ted Cruz for Senate 2011 April Quarterly Report at 229, 263 (Apr. 15, 2011); 2012 July Quarterly Report at 1,069, 1,196-97 (July 15, 2012); 2012 October Quarterly Report at 1,677, 872-71 (Oct. 15, 2012).

See Ashley Parker, A Wife Committed to Cruz's Ideals, but a Study in Contrasts to Him, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 23, 2013 (attached to MUR 7003 Compl.).

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3 of 10

Senator Cruz filed his United States Senate Financial Disclosure Reports for 2012 ("2012

- 2 Senate Report") on May 15, 2013.6 The 2012 Senate Report includes two loans that Senator
- 3 Cruz took out in 2012: a "line of credit" from Citibank and a "margin loan" from Goldman
- 4 Sachs. Each loan is listed in the \$250,000 to \$500,000 range, with an interest rate of "prime"
- 5—floating" for the Citibank loan and "3% floating" for the margin loan from Goldman Sachs.9
- 6 On January 13, 2016 the New York Times reported that the Goldman Sachs margin loan
- 7 and the Citibank line of credit, which both appear on the 2012 Senate Report but not on any FEC
- 8 disclosure reports, were the underlying sources of his candidate loans. On January 14, 2016 the
- 9 Committee filed a Miscellaneous Text Document (Form 99) with the Commission stating that
- 10 the margin loan had been "inadvertently omitted" from the relevant 2012 FEC disclosure
- reports. 10 The Complaints allege that the Goldman Sachs margin loan, which appears on the
- 12 2012 Senate Report but not on the Committee's FEC disclosure reports, was the underlying
- source of Cruz's candidate loans. 11 The Form 99 also provided the following information on the

See United States Financial Disclosure Report for Annual and Termination Filers for 2012. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/12EFF92A-4EA8-44ED-AD2E-A32202BE5771/.

Senator Cruz initially disclosed the 2012 loans on his 2011 Senate Financial Disclosure Report, which was filed on July 12, 2012, and reflects that he incurred the loans in 2012. See United States Financial Disclosure Report for New Employee and Candidate Reports for 2011. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/7DA1762C-66E3-4CE2-8E6E-623FE52D7809/.

See United States Financial Disclosure Report for Annual and Termination Filers for 2012. https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/12EFF92A-4EA8-44ED-AD2E-A32202BE5771/.

See Senate Report for 2012 at 8. An amendment to the 2012 report added "on demand" under the Term of loan for each of the loans. See https://efdsearch.senate.gov/search/view/paper/929B15B0-D2E8-4B91-95CC-EF4DC76E9AF8/.

Ted Cruz for Senate, Misc. Rpt. to FEC (Letter from Bradley Knippa, Treasurer, to RAD) (Jan. 14, 2016).

See Mike McIntire, Ted Cruz Didn't Report Goldman Sachs Loan in a Senate Race, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 13, 2016, at 3 (attached to MUR 7001 Compl. and MUR 7009 Compl. and cited by MUR 7002 Compl. ¶ 7 n.5), Mike McIntire, Ted Cruz Failed to Report a Second Campaign Loan in 2012, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 15, 2016 (attached to MUR 7003 Compl. and cited by MUR 7002 Compl. ¶ 10 n.9). The 2012 Senate Report does not reflect that assets were liquidated.

· 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4 of 10

loans: "Goldman Sachs Margin Loan, Incurred 2012, 3% Floating Interest Rate; Citibank Line

of Credit, Incurred in 2012, Prime plus floating Interest Rate."

Following receipt of the Form 99, RAD spoke to the Committee's treasurer, assistant treasurer, or counsel on multiple occasions, instructed them on reporting requirements for loans

funded by a financial institution, and urged them to amend the Committee's disclosure reports to

correctly disclose the required information for each loan on Schedules C (Loans) and C-1 (Loans

and Lines of Credit from Lending Institutions). 13 The Committee initially agreed to file the

amendments, requesting additional time to gather the documentation, but then told RAD that it

9 was hesitant to do so given that complaints had been filed and an enforcement process initiated. 14

RAD instructed the Committee that it should still file amendments to the reports in which the

loans were disclosed and sent the Committee Requests for Additional Information for the 2012

12 July Quarterly and 2012 October Quarterly Reports, which covered the periods when the loans

were incurred.<sup>15</sup> The RFAIs requested that the Committee amend its reports to provide correct

14 loan information and submit the appropriate supporting schedules. 16 Though RAD only sent

RFAIs on these two reports, it advised the committee to amend all reports in which the loans

16 were disclosed.<sup>17</sup>

<sup>.12</sup> *Id.* 

Referral at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Id.

<sup>15</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Id*.

Senator Cruz's amended 2012 Senate Report notes that both the Citibank Line of Credit and Goldman Sachs Margin Loan were paid off in 2012.

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 5 of 10

In response to the RFAIs, the Committee filed another Form 99 stating that it had

"proactively provided additional information." On May 4, 2016, RAD advised the Committee

that its response to the RFAIs was inadequate and the matter would be referred for further

review. 19 The Committee replied that it would not be filing any amendments at that time and has

not done so to date. 20

The Commission also conducted an audit of the Committee's 2012 election cycle activity, and the Commission issued the Final Audit Report ("FAR") on June 22, 2017.<sup>21</sup> The Commission approved a finding that the Committee "failed to properly disclose \$1,064,000 in candidate loans that originated with commercial lenders and failed to file the correct schedules C and C-1."<sup>22</sup> The FAR determined that of the \$1.43 million in loans that the Committee reported as having come from Senator Cruz's personal funds, he actually borrowed \$800,000 from three margin loans with a floating interest rate of 3% secured by a Goldman Sachs brokerage account he jointly held with his wife, Heidi Cruz<sup>23</sup> (\$400,000 on 5/18/12; \$250,000 on 7/23/12; and \$150,000 on 8/7/12) and \$264,000 (on 5/22/12) from a Citibank line of credit with a floating interest rate that enabled him to draw cash advances against a limit of \$275,000.<sup>24</sup> Unlike the

Id. at 3; Ted Cruz for Senate, Misc. Rpt. To FEC (Letter from Bradley Knippa, Treasurer, to RAD) (Mar. 8, 2016).

<sup>19</sup> Referral at 3.

Id. at 4; Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 2.

Final Audit Report of the Commission on Ted Cruz for Senate (June 22, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> . *Id*. at 3

Senate Rpt. at 8. A margin loan is a financial instrument that allows account holders to borrow from a brokerage firm against the value of assets in their portfolio.

FAR at 8. The FAR found that \$366,000 in loans from Senator Cruz to the Committee were from Cruz's personal funds.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 6 of 10

- 1 Goldman Sachs margin loans, no person other than the candidate himself was liable for the
- 2 Citibank line of credit.<sup>25</sup> The documentation available from the Cruzes' Goldman Sachs account
- 3 indicates that the account was opened on January 17, 2006, as an account held by Senator Cruz
- 4 and his wife as Joint Tenants with Rights of Survivorship. The Customer Agreement for the
- 5 account states that ownership "will be held in the manner indicated in the title of the account."
- 6 The available account documentation does not indicate each account holder's ownership interest
- 7 in the account.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

## 8 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

## A. Alleged Failure to Disclose Required Information about Candidate Loans

The candidate's principal campaign committee must report all loans derived from an advance on the candidate's brokerage account, credit card, home equity line of credit, or other line of credit available to the candidate.<sup>26</sup> The disclosure report must identify the person who makes a loan to the committee during the reporting period, together with the identification of any endorser or guarantor of such loan, and the date and amount or value of such loans.<sup>27</sup> Commission regulations provide that a committee must disclose information about loans from the candidate to the campaign on Schedules C and C-1.<sup>28</sup> If the candidate finances a loan to the campaign with an underlying loan or line of credit, section 104.3(d)(4) of the Commission's regulations requires the committee to disclose on Schedule C-1, among other things: (1) date.

Citibank, N.A., Revolving Credit/Time Note, Variable Rate (Individuals/Lawyers) at 1, dated May 11, 2012 (signed by Rafael E. Cruz) (providing that the rate of interest shall be the highest of: (1) Citibank's base rate; (2) the Federal Funds Rate plus 2.0%; or (3) the LIBOR rate plus 2.0%). Cruz's 2012 Senate Disclosure stated only that the line of credit had a "prime – floating" interest rate. Senate Report at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.83(e).

See 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E). 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d),

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 7 of 10

- amount, and interest rate of the loan or line of credit; (2) name and address of the lending
- 2 institution; and (3) types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the
- 3 loan.<sup>29</sup>
- 4 Although Senator Cruz used funds borrowed from Citibank and Goldman Sachs to make
- 5 loans totaling \$1,064,000 to his 2012 Senate campaign, the Committee inaccurately reported on
- 6 Schedule C that he made the loans to the Committee with his "personal funds," and failed to file
- 7 a Schedule C-1 to properly disclose the details of the margin loan and line of credit. Thus, the
- 8 Committee did not comply with the reporting requirements of the Act or the Commission's
- 9 regulations.

The Cruz Respondents admit that the Committee failed to provide the required

- information regarding the loans and concede that they have yet to amend their reports.<sup>30</sup> They
- argue, however, that the matter should be dismissed because the public record has been corrected
- as a result of the information disclosed in the 2012 Senate Report filed in May 2013, and in the
- 14 Form 99 filed in January 2016.<sup>31</sup> Respondents specifically cite to MUR 5421 (John Kerry for
- 15 President) and argue that their reporting meets the "substantially correct" standard set forth in
- 16 that matter.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>29</sup> *Id.* § 104.3(d)(4).

As mentioned above, Respondents explain that the Committee refrained from submitting amendments because "the complaints [have] already been filed and [given] the confidentiality of the enforcement process." Resp. of Cruz Respondents at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See id.

Id.; see also Second General Counsel's Rpt. at 9, MUR 5421 (John Kerry for President) (concluding that the reporting of the loan in question was substantially correct because the reporting of the loan was "accurate in most respects"). Respondents also cite MUR 6386 (Steve Fincher for Congress) for the proposition that a civil penalty is unwarranted for "this type of reporting error" for a legal loan with subsequent clarification, Resp. at 3. But, this matter is distinguishable from MUR 6386, where the Commission could not agree as to whether it should impose a civil penalty after the committee had amended its report to disclose the required loan information. See First General Counsel's Report at 6, MUR 6386; Certification, MUR 6386 (June 4, 2011). See also MUR 5198 (Cantwell) (no civil penalty where Committee amended reports prior to initiation of matter).

. 16

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 8 of 10

In MUR 5421, the Commission approved a recommendation to dismiss the committee's inaccurate reporting of a series of loans the candidate made to his principal campaign committee where it inaccurately reported the date on which the candidate accessed the loan instead of the date he incurred one of the loans, and inaccurately reported the total fair market value of the collateral for the loan rather than simply the candidate's share of the property. The General Counsel's Report recommended, and the Commission approved, no further action on the inaccurate reporting because the reporting was substantially correct in that its "overall reporting of the loans otherwise accurately disclosed the precise flow of money" from the bank to the campaign. In this matter, unlike MUR 5421, the overall reporting of the loans was not substantially

correct. The Committee reported on a Schedule C that the source of the loans was the candidate's "personal funds" when the funds were actually derived from Citibank and Goldman Sachs. Although Cruz reported the existence of the loans on his 2012 Senate Report filed in May 2013, the report does not include all the details about the terms of the loans, nor does it disclose that Cruz used those loans to finance his campaign. Thus, it was not until 2016 that the Committee first linked the Citibank and Goldman Sachs loans to Cruz's campaign. Further, the Committee has not amended any of the relevant FEC disclosure reports or filed a Schedule C-1 for the loans, and the Form 99 lacks some of the information required to be disclosed on

Second General Counsel's Rpt. at 9, 10, 11, MUR 5421 (John Kerry for President). The loans were comprised of smaller loans the candidate obtained from draws on personal lines of credit, totaling \$1.1 million, and a larger loan from Mellon Trust, worth \$6.4 million.

Id. at 11; Certification, MUR 5421 (Dec. 12, 2005).

The 2012 Senate Report includes the following information: (1) name of the lending institution; (2) year the loan was incurred; (3) approximate amount of the loan within a very broad range; (4) interest rate; and (5) term of loan.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 9 of 10

- Schedule C-1, including: (1) the dates and amounts of the loans; and (2) the types and value of
- 2 collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the loan.<sup>36</sup>
- 3 Therefore, the Commission finds reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate violated
- 4 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) by failing to disclose accurate
- 5 information about \$1,064,000 in candidate loans in its initial filings for the loans on the 2012
- 6 July and October Quarterly Reports and in subsequent reports detailing the loans. Further,
- 7 because the complaints did not articulate any factual or legal basis for finding Senator Cruz
- 8 personally liable for the reporting violation, and the Commission sees no basis under the facts of
- 9 this case to hold the candidate individually responsible for filing accurate and complete
- 10 disclosure reports, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Senator Cruz violated
- 11 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).<sup>37</sup>
- 12 The Commission does not find that the Committee's reporting violations are knowing and
- willful as alleged by the Complaint in MUR 7003. That Complaint does not allege sufficient
- 14 facts to demonstrate that the Respondents intentionally failed to disclose the underlying sources

See 11 CFR. § 104.3(d)(4) (setting forth information required on schedule C-1).

See e.g., Factual & Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 6066 (Hartley-Nagle for Congress, et al.) (finding no reason to believe that a candidate violated alleged reporting violations because Complainant did not articulate any factual or legal basis for finding the candidate personally liable).

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate, et al. Factual & Legal Analysis Page 10 of 10

- despite a known legal obligation.<sup>38</sup> Further, it does not appear that the Senator "secretly"<sup>39</sup> took
- 2 out the loans from Goldman Sachs and Citibank as alleged, given that he disclosed them on his
- 3 Senate Financial Disclosure Report.

A violation of the Act is knowing and willful when the "acts were committed with full knowledge of all the relevant facts and a recognition that the action is prohibited by law." 122 Cong. Rec. H3778 (daily ed. May 3, 1976). This does not require proving knowledge of the specific statute or regulation the respondent allegedly violated. See United States v. Danielczyk, 917 F. Supp. 2d 573, 579 (E.D. Va. 2013) (citing Bryan v. United States, 524 U.S. 184, 195 & n.23 (1998) (holding that, to establish that a violation is willful, the government needs to show only that the defendant acted with knowledge that her conduct was unlawful, not knowledge of the specific statutory provision violated). Rather, it is sufficient to demonstrate that a respondent "acted voluntarily and was aware that his conduct was unlawful." Id. (internal quotation marks omitted). This awareness may be shown through circumstantial evidence, such as a "defendant's elaborate scheme for disguising" her actions, or other "facts and circumstances from which the jury reasonably could infer [the defendant] knew her conduct was unauthorized and illegal." United States v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 213-15 (5th Cir. 1990) (internal quotation marks omitted). As the Hopkins court noted, "It has long been recognized that 'efforts at concealment [may] be reasonably explainable only in terms of motivation to evade' lawful obligations." Id. at 214 (quoting Ingram v. United States, 360 U.S. 672, 679 (1959)).

MUR 7003 Compl. at 1.

#### BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of ·	)
	)
Ted Cruz for Senate, and	) MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009, and 7455
Bradley S. Knippa, in his official capacity	) ·
as treasurer	)

### **CONCILIATION AGREEMENT**

This matter was initiated pursuant to several complaints and information ascertained by the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. The Commission found reason to believe that Ted Cruz for Senate and Bradley S. Knippa, in his official capacity as treasurer, ("Respondents" or the "Committee") violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).

NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and the Respondents, having participated in informal methods of conciliation, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, do hereby agree as follows:

- I. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Respondents and the subject matter of this proceeding, and this agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(A)(i).
- II. Respondents have had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action should be taken in this matter.
  - III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this agreement with the Commission.
  - IV. The pertinent facts in this matter are as follows:
- Ted Cruz for Senate is the principal campaign committee of Senator Ted
   Cruz, a 2012 candidate for U.S Senate in Texas. Bradley S. Knippa is the Committee's treasurer.

- 2. According to the Committee's 2012 July Quarterly, filed on July 15, 2012, and 2012 October Quarterly Report, filed October 15, 2012, Senator Cruz made loans to the Committee totaling \$1,064,000, which were disclosed as "personal funds."
- 3. The loans described in paragraph 2, however, were not the personal funds of Senator Cruz but were funds borrowed from the following commercial lenders. First, Senator Cruz obtained an \$800,000 margin loan from Goldman Sachs that was secured by assets in a brokerage account jointly held with his wife. Second, Senator Cruz obtained a, line of credit from Citibank and withdrew \$264,000.
- 4. On January 14, 2016, the Committee filed a Form 99 with the Commission admitting that a margin loan and line of credit had been "inadvertently omitted" from the relevant FEC disclosure reports and providing the following information about the loans: "Goldman Sachs Margin Loan, Incurred 2012, 3% Floating Interest Rate; Citibank Line of Credit, Incurred in 2012, Prime plus floating Interest Rate."
- 5. Respondents contend that Senator Cruz disclosed on his public Financial Disclosure Report, which was filed with the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Ethics on May 15, 2013, that such loans originated from Goldman Sachs and Citibank.
- 6. Loans derived from advances on a candidate's brokerage account or other line of credit available to the candidate must be reported by the committee. 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E); 11 C.F.R. § 100.83(e). Commission regulations provide that a committee must disclose information about loans from the candidate to the committee on Schedule C, including the terms and identification of any endorser or guarantor. 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4)(iv). If the candidate finances a loan to the campaign with an underlying loan or line of credit, the committee must disclose on a Schedule C-1, among other things: (1) date, amount, and interest

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 & 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate Conciliation Agreement Page 3 of 4

rate of the loan or line of credit; (2) name and address of the lending institution; and (3) types and value of collateral or other sources of repayment that secured the loan or line of credit. *Id.* § 104.3(d)(4).

- V. Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4) by inaccurately reporting on Schedule C that Senator Cruz used his personal funds to make \$1,064,000 in loans to the Committee and omitting the required Schedule C-1, identifying Goldman Sachs and Citibank as the underlying source of the loans.
  - VI. Respondents will take the following actions:
- 1. Respondents will pay a civil penalty to the Federal Election Commission in the amount of Thirty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000), pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(A).
- 2. Respondents will cease and desist from violating 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(E) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d)(4).
- 3. Respondents will amend its disclosure reports to correctly disclose the source of funds loaned at issue.
- VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(l) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review compliance with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any requirement thereof has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
- VIII. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have executed same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.

MURs 7001, 7002, 7003, 7009 & 7455 Ted Cruz for Senate Conciliation Agreement Page 4 of 4

- IX. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the date this agreement becomes effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this agreement and to so notify the Commission.
- X. This Conciliation Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral, made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained in this written agreement shall be enforceable.

FOR THE COMMISSION:

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel 2/28/19

2/27/19

Date

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:

Bradley S. Khippa
Treasurer Ted Cruz for

Treasurer, Ted Cruz for Senate

Date